

THE CYTOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION OF THE CHROMOSOME ASSOCIATED WITH THE *R-g* LINKAGE GROUP IN *ZEa MAYS*

BARBARA McCLINTOCK AND HENRY E. HILL

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

Received March 1, 1930

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION.....	175
Methods.....	176
Observations on trisomic inheritance of <i>R</i>	176
Independence of the <i>R-g</i> linkage group.....	186
SUMMARY.....	190
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	190
LITERATURE CITED.....	190
APPENDIX.....	190

INTRODUCTION

Genetic investigations with *Zea mays* have established ten linkage groups. Likewise, cytological investigations have revealed the presence of ten morphologically identifiable chromosomes composing the haploid complement (McCLINTOCK 1929b). It is the aim of the present investigation to correlate a particular linkage group with a particular chromosome.

The method employed has been to obtain $2n+1$ plants trisomic for different members of the haploid complement, and then, by means of trisomic inheritance, to determine which chromosome carries a particular group of genes.

The $2n+1$ plants have been obtained from the progeny of one original triploid (McCLINTOCK 1929a). The chromosome number in the female gametes of a triploid varies from ten to twenty. Trisomic individuals were obtained directly from the F_1 of a cross triploid \times diploid and from the $2n+1$ progenies of F_1 individuals with more than one extra chromosome.

Inheritance data obtained from the $2n+1$ plants of culture 131 suggested that in this culture the *r-g* carrying chromosome was present in triplicate. An effort was therefore made to test the validity of this interpretation and to verify the genetic inference that the nine other linkage groups are independent of the *r-g* chromosome.

The evidence indicates that the smallest chromosome of the haploid complement carries the genes of the *r-g* linkage group. Thus, $2n+1$ plants of culture 131 showing trisomic inheritance for *r* have the smallest chromosome in duplicate in their 11-chromosome microspores. Likewise, $2n+1$

plants of other cultures which show the smallest chromosome in duplicate in 11-chromosome microspores have given trisomic inheritance for *r* in later genetic investigations.

METHODS

Root-tips were fixed in a chromic-acetic-formalin mixture and sectioned in paraffin. The aceto-carmin smear method was used for sporocytes which previously had been fixed in an acetic-absolute alcohol mixture. The microspores were fixed in an acetic-absolute alcohol mixture, stained with carmine and cleared with chloral hydrate.

For morphological studies of the chromosomes, late prophase stages of the division of the microspore nucleus were found most valuable, since the chromosomes at this stage are longer, their constrictions are more obvious and the relative length of their arms is more readily determined than in the contracted metaphase stage. From comparative studies of the most easily distinguishable chromosomes of the complement it is clear that the morphology as shown by the prophase and metaphase microspore figures is essentially similar to that shown in the root tips. The presence of only the haploid complement and the ease of observation in the microspore favored the use of this stage for cytological studies.

OBSERVATIONS ON TRISOMIC INHERITANCE FOR *R*

As has been stated, the $2n+1$ individuals of culture 131 were trisomic for the *r-g* chromosome. This culture arose from a $2n+1$ plant which had been selfed. Of 61 individuals examined, 39 (or 63.7 percent) were $2n$, 21 (or 34.4 percent) were $2n+1$ and 1 (or 1.6 percent) was $2n+2$. It can be safely assumed that all the $2n+1$ plants of this culture were trisomic for the same chromosome, since the normal rate of non-disjunction in *Zea mays* is very low.

As a result of the distribution of the members of the trivalent at meiosis, 11-chromosome carrying and 10-chromosome carrying gametes are formed. In a normal pollination, the extra chromosome carrying pollen grains do not function well in competition with the n -carrying pollen grains (see table 1). For genetic investigations, therefore, it is necessary to

TABLE 1
Percent of $2n+1$ individuals resulting from the cross $2n \text{♀} \times 2n+1 \text{♂}$.

$2n \text{♀} \times 2n+1 \text{♂}$	$2n$	$2n+1$	Percent $2n+1$
$192_1 \times 224_3$	261	3	1.13
$192_8 \times 225_3$	83	2	2.35

consider the functioning of 11-chromosome carrying gametes only in the case of the female. On the basis of random distribution of the three similar chromosomes at meiosis one should expect half of the eggs to carry the extra chromosome, but actually only about one-third of the eggs carried it (see above and table 2). This discrepancy can be explained on the basis of irregularities at meiosis. Nine bivalents and one trivalent are found at metaphase I only in approximately two-thirds of the sporocytes; in the other sporocytes there are ten bivalents and one univalent. When the extra chromosome appears thus as a univalent its

TABLE 2
Number $2n+1$: $2n$ individuals from the cross $2n+1 \text{♀} \times 2n\text{♂}$.

CULTURE	$2n$	$2n+1$
166	11	4
168	1	1
176	5	2
224	2	2
225	14	5
229	10	10
230	14	4
231	17	2
232	9	11
Totals	83	41 33.06 percent $2n+1$

behavior is very irregular (McCLINTOCK 1929a). It may not go into the spindle figure but remain in the cytoplasm. It may be found in an abnormal position in the spindle. Again, the univalent may lag in the central part of the spindle with or without showing evidence of a separation of its split halves. If the halves should be included in the two telophase I nuclei, they would probably lag in the second meiotic mitosis. In all of these cases a loss of the univalent will occur in meiosis, with the formation of all n -carrying nuclei instead of half n -carrying and half $n+1$. This phenomenon could account for the increased ratio of n to $n+1$ gametes. The difference in many cases is probably not due to lack of viability of $n+1$ gametes or $2n+1$ plants, since in many ears of *Zea mays* the regularity of row and kernel position allows undeveloped kernels to be readily detected. Some $2n+1$ plants bore almost perfectly filled ears. It is possible, also, that the lowest megaspore, if it contains the extra chromosome, does not function to produce the embryo sac but, in a certain percent of the

cases, one of the megaspores that contains the haploid complement functions instead.

The trisomic individuals of culture 131 were crossed so that their progenies were heterozygous for at least one factor of every linkage group. Backcross and F_2 ratios were obtained to determine which factors were inherited on a trisomic and which on a disomic basis. Abundant evidence for trisomic inheritance of r in the $2n+1$ progenies of culture 131 (cultures 189, 209, 224, 225, 229, 231) was obtained.

Simple ratios may best be considered first. When the R factor for red aleurone is duplex (RRr) the gametic ratio expected from random distribution of the extra chromosome is $2R:2Rr:1RR:1r$, or a total of $5R:1r$. Since only the n -carrying pollen grains need be considered, the functioning male gametic ratio is $2R:1r$. In the $2n+1$ progenies of culture 131 duplex for R the backcross ratios through the pollen were $646R:355r$ (table 3),

TABLE 3

$rr \text{ } \varnothing \times RRr \text{ } \sigma^7$	COLORLED	COLORLESS
$192_8 \times 225_3$	240	91
$192_9 \times 209_{49}$	198	141
$192_{10} \times 224_2$	208	123
Totals	646	355

a fair approximation to 2:1. On the same basis, crosses of $2n \text{ } Rr \text{ } \varnothing \times 2n+1RRr \text{ } \sigma^7$ should give $5R:1r$. Table 4 shows a total count of $2102R:435r$. A sib cross between two heterozygous $2n$ individuals gave $290R:88r$, or the expected 3:1 ratio (table 7).

TABLE 4

$Rr \text{ } \varnothing \times RRr \text{ } \sigma^7$	COLORLED	COLORLESS
$225_{11} \times 224_2$	265	74
$225_{14} \times 224_2$	235	49
$231_7 \times 231_9$	382	61
$231_{14} \times 231_9$	419	82
$231_{16} \times 231_9$	357	82
$231_{17} \times 231_9$	444	87
Totals	2102	435

When R is simplex (Rrr) the expected gametic ratio is $1R:2Rr:1rr:2r$. With elimination of the $n+1$ carrying pollen grains the functioning male

gametic ratio is $1R:2r$. In the progenies of culture 131 only one individual tested was so constituted and gave a backcross ratio through the pollen of $110R:234r$ (table 5). Conversely a $2:1$ ratio is expected in crossing a $2n Rr$ with this $2n+1$ of constitution Rrr ; actual counts showed $348R:182r$ (table 5).

TABLE 5
Crosses involving the simplex (Rrr) individual, 224_3 .

$rr \varphi \times Rrr \sigma^7$	COLORED	COLORLESS
$192_1 \times 224_3$	110	234
$Rr \varphi \times Rrr \sigma^7$		
$224_4 \times 224_3$	182	97
$224_4 \times 224_3$ second ear	166	85
Totals	348	182
$Rrr \varphi \times Rr \sigma^7$		
$224_3 \times 224_4$	160	57

The extra chromosome having thus been shown to carry a factor for the r - 3 linkage group, cytological examinations were made in order to determine which of the ten chromosomes of the haploid complement it was. Since the ten chromosomes are all morphologically distinguishable, it was only necessary to examine the 11-chromosome carrying microspores and see which chromosome was present in duplicate. Observations on diakinesis had already indicated that the chromosome involved was either the smallest or the next to the smallest.

The methods devised at the time of the investigation for the observation of the late prophase chromosome made it somewhat difficult to obtain figures with all of the chromosomes lying perpendicular to the optical axis. Some good figures were obtained, however (figure 1). Many figures were found with all but one or two chromosomes lying flat. Since the differences in size between the four smallest and the six largest chromosomes are obvious in the later prophase stage almost regardless of the position of the chromosomes in the nucleus, it is comparatively easy to know when the four smallest chromosomes are lying flat, and hence to obtain accurate figures (figure 2). In the 11-chromosome microspores in which one chromosome is present in duplicate it is easy to determine whether it belongs to the group of four small chromosomes or to the group of six large ones. When it belongs to the former group one observes five small

instead of four small chromosomes, and the frequency of figures with all five chromosomes lying in the desired plane is sufficiently high to make accurate comparisons of the chromosomes for the purpose of determining which of them is present in duplicate. Such a case is shown in figure 3.




FIGURE 1.—Late prophase chromosomes in an 11-chromosome ($n+1$) microspore. The arrows indicate the duplicated chromosomes of the haploid complement; these are the *r-g* carrying chromosomes. At this stage the chromosomes are frequently very angular. $\times 2150$.




FIGURE 2.—The four smallest chromosomes from a normal (n) microspore; late prophase $\times 2150$.




FIGURE 3.—The five smallest chromosomes from an 11-chromosome ($n+1$) microspore. Note that the chromosome in duplicate is the smallest chromosome of the set. Compare with figure 2. $\times 2150$.

It is clear that the extra chromosome which carries the genes for the *r-g* linkage group is the smallest chromosome of the haploid complement.

The presence in triplicate of the smallest chromosome does not markedly affect the growth or appearance of the plant. One is unable to detect even a moderate difference in comparing $2n+1$ with $2n$ individuals. On

the average, the $2n+1$ individuals are somewhat weaker than the $2n$ individuals. Since genetic material of *Zea mays* is very heterozygous for growth and morphological characters, it is possible that the morphological changes produced by the presence of this extra chromosome would be recognizable only in fairly homozygous material. In consequence of the inability to recognize $2n+1$ plants with certainty in the field, every plant must be examined cytologically or tested genetically to detect the presence of the extra chromosome.



FIGURE 4.—Photomicrograph of microspore showing late prophase chromosomes of first nuclear division. The smallest chromosome of the complement, that which carries the genes of the *R-G* linkage group, is the second chromosome from the left. It is the only chromosome in focus throughout its entire length. $\times 1800$.

Another $2n+1$ plant (144_1) not directly related to culture 131, an F_2 individual from the cross triploid \times diploid, was examined cytologically. The examination showed clearly the presence of the smallest chromosome in triplicate. It was therefore necessary to prove that this plant or its $2n+1$ progeny would show trisomic inheritance for *r*. Colored kernels from the cross $144_1 (2n+1) \times ACr (2n)$ were grown. The $2n$ and $2n+1$ F_1 individuals were backcrossed to *r* testers (*ACr*). Pollen of $2n+1$ plants placed on *r* testers gave a total count of 1282 colored to 2451 colorless kernels, a simple trisomic ratio of 1:2 (table 6). Plant 144_1 probably had the constitution *Rrr*, since each of the $2n+1$ offspring was *Rrr*. The $2n$ sibs (culture 232, table 7) showed, in contrast, a good disomic 1:1 ratio.

TABLE 6

$rr \text{ } \varnothing \times Rrr \text{ } \sigma^8$	COLORED	COLORLESS
$194_{12} \times 232_2$	99	178
$194_{14} \times 232_2$	33	75
$194_{32} \times 232_4$	82	153
$194_{16} \times 232_4$	79	184
$194_3 \times 232_6$	97	140
$194_{13} \times 232_6$	78	174
$194_7 \times 232_8$	82	167
$194_1 \times 232_{11}$	69	132
$194_5 \times 232_{11}$	104	154
$192_{12} \times 232_{12}$	89	199
$194_4 \times 232_{12}$	98	202
$194_{16} \times 232_{13}$	52	100
$194_{19} \times 232_{13}$	99	195
$193_2 \times 232_{16}$	27	55
$193_3 \times 232_{16}$	79	143
$193_6 \times 232_{16}$	115	200
Totals	1282	2451

TABLE 7

Crosses involving 2n Rr individuals of cultures 189, 225, 231 and 232.

$Rr \text{ SELFED}$	COLORED	COLORLESS
225_{10}	274	99
231_{16}	334	105
Totals	608	204
$Rr \text{ } \varnothing \times Rr \text{ } \sigma^8$		
$225_{17} \times 225_{16}$	290	88
$Rr \text{ } \varnothing \times rr \text{ } \sigma^8$		
$225_{12} \times 192_4$	184	219
$225_{13} \times 192_4$	177	187
$232_1 \times 194_{16}$	172	195
$232_5 \times 192_6$	113	104
$232_7 \times 192_6$	221	198
$232_{14} \times 192_6$	182	168
$232_3 \times 192_6$	70	73
$232_{10} \times 194_{13}$	20	30
$232_{18} \times 192_6$	22	22
Totals	1161	1196
$rr \text{ } \varnothing \times Rr \text{ } \sigma^8$		
$192_7 \times 189A_{50}$	132	135

Still another unrelated trisomic plant (219A₅) was found which possessed the smallest chromosome in triplicate. Its genic constitution with respect to *r* was unknown, but its pollen was used on *r* testers with the thought that it might be heterozygous. The total backcross ratio from the three ears obtained (table 8) was 295*R*:131*r*, indicating a duplex (*RRr*) condition in this plant.

TABLE 8

<i>rr</i> ♀ × <i>RRr</i> ♂	COLORLED	COLORLESS
192 ₁₂ × 219A ₅	192	94
193 ₁ × 219A ₅	15	11
194 ₁₁ × 219A ₅	88	26
Totals	295	131

Thus far only ratios through the pollen have been considered. The ratios are simple and agree with the expectations. The trisomic ratios as expressed through the female resulting from the cross $2n+1 \text{ ♀} \times 2n \text{ ♂}$ are complicated by the presence of an excess of $2n$ over $2n+1$ individuals. Possible explanations for this have been given on page 177. As was stated there, if there were random assortment of the three homologous chromosomes at meiosis 50 percent of the gametes should be n and 50 percent $n+1$. Actually, only about one-third of the eggs carry the extra chromosome. This condition materially changes the expected ratio.

In a simplex plant (*Rrr*) the gametic ratio, on the basis of random assortment of the three homologous chromosomes would be $1R:2Rr:1rr:2r$ which would give on backcrossing to *rr* plants, a phenotypic ratio of $1R:1r$. If, however, lagging and loss of one of the three chromosomes occur and at random (or if there is also some selection against $n+1$ megaspores in favor of n) the gametic ratio produced as a result of these occurrences would be $1R:2r$ and all the gametes would be n . The total gametic ratio, therefore should fluctuate between these two extremes depending upon the relative amounts of random distribution of the three homologous chromosomes as compared to lagging and loss that have occurred. It is therefore necessary to know what proportion of the female gametes were produced after random assortment and what proportion after loss.

If a $2n+1$ simplex plant (*Rrr*) is pollinated with pollen from a $2n$ *rr* plant the proportion of *R*-carrying and *r*-carrying gametes may be directly determined. The amount of lagging, or of lagging plus mega-

spore selection, then, may be computed from the distortion of the 1:1 ratio (expected with no lagging) in the direction of a 1:2 ratio (expected with 100 percent lagging). An approximate measure of the total amount of lagging and loss that has occurred during megasporogenesis in the ovules of the $2n+1$ plants of culture 232 (table 9) has been obtained in this man-

TABLE 9

<i>Rrr</i> ♀ × <i>rrr</i> ♂	COLORLED	COLORLESS
232 ₄ × 192 ₄	137	178
232 ₆ × 194 ₁₆	130	149
232 ₈ × 192 ₆	115	150
232 ₉ × 192 ₆	137	178
232 ₁₆ × 192 ₆	160	181
Totals	679	836

ner. The $2n+1$ plants of culture 232 were all *Rrr* (see table 6). They were pollinated with pollen from $2n$ *r*-testers (*ACr*). Each ear obtained showed a deviation from a 1:1 ratio expected with random assortment of the three homologous chromosomes toward the 1:2 ratio expected from lagging and loss, or from lagging and loss plus megaspore selection. Of the 1515 kernels obtained 679 were colored (dominant) and 836 were colorless (recessive).

1*R*:1*r* = gametic ratio due to random assortment of three homologous chromosomes.

1*R*:1*r*:1*r* = gametic ratio due to lagging and loss.

When these two gametic ratios are placed one above the other, it is seen that 679 represents the number of *R*-carrying gametes produced by both types of distribution, and similarly, 836 the number of *r*-carrying gametes produced. If 679 represents the number of *R*-carrying gametes produced, then 679 can be given to a similar proportion of *r*-carrying gametes. The total number (679+679) is 1358. The difference between 1515, the total number of gametes, and 1358 is 157, which is the number of the remaining recessives gametes (or kernels). It is easy to see by this method that this number (157) represents one-third of the total number of gametes produced as the result of lagging and loss (or lagging and loss plus megaspore selection). The number 157 is also the amount by which the recessives exceed the dominants. Thus, in a population composed of individuals, some of which, taken as a group, represent a 1:1 ratio and others

of which, similarly, represent a 1:2 ratio, the number by which the recessives in the total population exceed the dominants should equal one-third of the individuals representing the 1:2 ratio, or, in the present instance, one-third of the individuals produced as a result of lagging.

The number of colorless kernels (recessives) exceeds the number of colored kernels (dominants) by 157; hence, the total number of gametes produced after loss should be three times 157, or 471. This number represents approximately 30 percent of the total number of kernels. Assuming that the data represent a sufficiently uniform random sample, it can be concluded that approximately 30 percent of the gametes were produced after loss of the extra chromosome through lagging at the first or second meiotic mitosis, or possibly through lagging and loss plus any loss that may have occurred through selective viability of megaspores.

If 30 percent of the gametes were produced through such loss, then loss occurred in 30 percent of the ovules. From the remaining 70 percent of the ovules half, or 35 percent, should possess $n+1$ female gametes and consequently 35 percent of the plants from the cross $2n+1 \text{ } \varphi \times 2n \text{ } \sigma^7$ should carry the extra chromosome. This, in turn, can well explain the deviation from the expected ratio of $2n+1$ to $2n$ individuals in the cross $2n+1 \times 2n$ (see page 177 and table 2). It is expected that both cytological and genetical evidence will be obtained which will indicate more definitely how much of the deviation is actually due to lagging and loss, and how much may be due to a possible selective viability of $n+1$ gametes or $2n+1$ embryos.

Assuming this same amount of loss, deviations from the $5R:1r$ ratio expected in the cross $RRr \times rr$ (table 10) can be interpreted. Each in-

TABLE 10

$RRr \text{ } \varphi \times rr \text{ } \sigma$	COLORLED	COLORLESS
$209_{41} \times 192_9$ (first ear)	130	33
$209_{41} \times 192_8$ (second ear)	207	56
$209_{41} \times 193_3$ (tiller ear)	193	52
$209_{68} \times 192_6$	289	72
Totals	819	213

dividual cross shows a deviation from the 5:1 ratio in an increase in the number of colorless kernels over expectancy. The total count of 819 colored:213 colorless represents a ratio of 3.84:1 instead of 5:1. A ratio

of 3.61:1 would be expected if it were assumed that 30 percent of the female gametes were produced as the result of loss. The ratio due to loss is $2R:1r$, as contrasted with the ratio of $5R:1r$ produced through random assortment of the three homologous chromosomes.

These same assumptions are utilized in explaining the deviations observed in selfing an individual of the constitution RRr . On the basis of random assortment and no lagging of the three homologous chromosomes, the female gametic ratio should be $2R:2Rr:1RR:1r$, the male, because of the elimination of the extra chromosome carrying pollen, $2R:1r$. One would expect, therefore, a 17:1 ratio in F_2 . On the basis of 30 percent of the gametes being produced as the result of loss of the extra chromosome a 12.8:1 ratio would be expected instead. Table 11 shows the results obtained.

TABLE 11

<i>AACRRr</i> SELFED	COLORS	COLORLESS
231 ₉ first ear	396	41 $\chi^2=2.47$, $P=0.10+$
231 ₉ second ear	188	24
Totals	584	65 $\chi^2=7.42$, $P=>0.01$

Determinations of goodness of fit by means of the χ^2 method indicate a significant deviation on the total counts. A full ear of well developed kernels of unmistakable classification resulted upon selfing the first ear. A χ^2 determination on this ear alone gives a fit well within the probability. The second ear on this plant produced by selfing was poorly filled, with many kernels underdeveloped. It is possible that in this ear the color in some of the kernels did not develop. This possibility is supported by the fact that some kernels on this ear showed the presence of color by only a slight degree of mottling. It is possible, also, that some $2n+1$ embryos did not develop fully on this particular ear.

The description of trisomic inheritance of r given above shows the nature of trisomic inheritance in *Zea mays* with regard to the smallest chromosome of the haploid set.

INDEPENDENCE OF THE *R-G* LINKAGE GROUP

The method of trisomic inheritance is a convenient means of determining with certainty the independence of linkage groups. Evidence obtained from both cytological and genetical observations indicates that the $r-g$ linkage group is independent of all the other nine linkage groups estab-

lished genetically. At least one factor of each linkage group has been tested (c and w_x , s_u , b , y , g_{11} , p_r , f , d and a). $2n+1$ individuals heterozygous for these genes have been selfed and backcrossed.

TABLE 12

Results of crosses involving c - w_x , s_u , b , y , g_{11} , p_r , d and a among $2n+1$ individuals trisomic for the r-g chromosome. For explanation see page 189.

I. Disomic inheritance of c and w_x (see appendix).		
$2n+1$ Cc [C or c] \times $2n$ AcR	C	c
131 ₃₃ \times B346 ₁	78	69
$2n$ AcR \times $2n+1$ Cc [C or c]		
104 ₂ \times 88 ₁	194	149
B346 ₁ \times 131 ₃	172	184
	W_x	w_x
$2n+1$ $W_x w_x$ [W_x] pollen counts	535	549
$2n$ $W_x w_x$ pollen counts	633	594
$2n$ $w_x w_x$ \times $2n+1$ $W_x w_x$ [W_x]		
192 ₈ \times 225 ₃	152	179
II. Disomic inheritance of s_u .		
$2n+1$ $S_u s_u$ [s_u] selfed	S_u	s_u
220 ₃	282	110
220 ₁₄	279	97
220 ₁₄	174	53
220 ₁₅	223	68
220 ₁₇	261	90
220 ₁₇	221	86
231 ₉	318	102
Totals	1758	586
$2n$ $S_u s_u$ \times $2n+1$ $S_u s_u$ [s_u]		
220 ₁₁ \times 18	228	98
225 ₁₄ \times 2	208	73
231 ₇ \times 9	324	112
231 ₁₆ \times 9	316	126
231 ₁₇ \times 9	359	139
Totals	1495	548
$2n$ $s_u s_u$ \times $2n+1$ $S_u s_u$ [s_u]		
188 \times 189 B ₁₈	190	169
$2n$ $S_u s_u$ selfed		
220 ₆	288	99
220 ₈	269	77
220 ₁₂	352	112
225 ₁₀	278	95
231 ₆	420	138
231 ₁₆	327	112
Totals	1934	633

TABLE 12—(continued)

III. Disomic inheritance of b (see page 189).		
$2n+1 Bb[b]$ selfed	B	b
88 ₁	54	19
IV. Disomic inheritance of y (see appendix).		
$2n+1 Yy [Y \text{ or } y]$ selfed	Y	y
176 ₆	94	36
$2n Yy \times 2n+1 Yy [y]$		
194 ₁₂ \times 232 ₂	139	37
194 _{3a} \times 232 ₄	113	40
194 ₁₆ \times 232 ₄	136	46
194 ₃ \times 232 ₆	102	38
194 ₁₃ \times 232 ₆	128	46
194 ₇ \times 232 ₈	127	40
194 ₁₉ \times 232 ₁₃	143	52
Totals	888	299
$2n yy \times 2n+1 Yy [y]$		
194 ₁ \times 232 ₁₁	95	89
194 ₆ \times 232 ₁₁	85	63
194 ₁₆ \times 232 ₁₃	77	72
193 ₃ \times 232 ₁₆	66	74
Totals	323	298
V. Disomic inheritance of gn .		
$2n+1 Gngn [Gn] \times 2n gngn$	Gn	gn
229 ₇ \times 201 ₂	113	105
VI. Disomic inheritance of p_r .		
$2n+1 P_r p_r [P_r]$ selfed	P_r	p_r
220 ₃	149	45
220 ₁₄	287	89
220 ₁₄	170	57
220 ₁₇	228	87
220 ₁₇	196	78
Totals	1030	356
$2n+1 P_r p_r [P_r] \times 2n p_r p_r$		
220 ₁₅ \times 203 ₁	129	120
220 ₁₉ \times 203 ₈	110	108
Totals	239	228
$2n P_r p_r \times 2n+1 P_r p_r [P_r]$	P_r	p_r
220 ₁₁ \times 220 ₁₈	306	80
$2n P_r p_r$ selfed		
220 ₆	271	116
220 ₈	249	97
220 ₁₂	362	102
Totals	882	315
$2n P_r p_r \times 2n p_r p_r$		
220 ₁₂ \times 203	194	207

TABLE 12—(continued)

VII. Disomic inheritance of <i>d</i> (see appendix).		
2n+1 <i>Dd</i> [<i>D</i>] selfed	<i>D</i>	<i>d</i>
231 ₉	129	44
2n <i>dd</i> × 2n+1 <i>Dd</i> [<i>D</i>]		
205 × 231 ₉	119	118
2n+1 <i>Dd</i> [<i>D</i>] × 2n <i>dd</i>		
231 ₁₂ × 205	170	140
VIII. Disomic inheritance of <i>a</i> (see appendix).		
2n+1 <i>Aa</i> [<i>A</i> or <i>a</i>] selfed	<i>A</i>	<i>a</i>
88 ₁	73	19
2n+1 <i>Aa</i> [<i>A</i> or <i>a</i>] × 2n <i>aa</i>		
131 ₅ × R511 ₃	80	53
2n <i>aa</i> × 2n+1 <i>Aa</i> [<i>A</i> or <i>a</i>]		
206 ₆ × 189B ₆₀	144	173

It is needless to discuss every cross represented in table 12, for the results are self explanatory. The crosses involving the recessive sugary gene (*s_u*) can be used as a single example (see table 12, section II). A 2n+1 plant of culture 131, homozygous for sugary, was crossed with pollen from a 2n starchy plant (*S_uS_u*). The F₁ 2n and 2n+1 individuals were selfed and backcrossed to test for trisomic or disomic inheritance. In each section the type of cross is indicated. The symbol of the gene placed in brackets indicates how the genic constitution of the 2n+1 individual would have differed had it been trisomic for this gene. On selfing 2n+1 plants heterozygous for sugary a total of 1758 *S_u* to 586 *s_u* kernels were obtained, precisely a 3:1 ratio. Diploid (2n) sibs upon selfing gave a total count of 1934 *S_u*:633 *s_u* kernels. The two ratios are similar and disomic. If these 2n+1 plants were trisomic for sugary (*S_uS_us_u*), an approach to a 2:1 ratio would be expected. Similarly, a 2:1 ratio would be expected in the sib crosses 2n (*S_us_u*) × 2n+1. Here a ratio of 1495 *S_u*:548 *s_u* kernels was obtained, a 3:1 instead of a 2:1 ratio. It is therefore concluded that the linkage group including *s_u* is independent of the *r-g* linkage group and must be associated with another chromosome. The data on factors *c*, *w_x*, *y*, *g_n*, *p_r* and *d* are sufficiently numerous to need no further explanation.

In the case of *b*, genetic data are hardly necessary, since the *b-l_g* linkage group has been associated with another chromosome.

The data on the *a* factor are few but indicate a disomic instead of a trisomic inheritance. In the case of a 2n+1 heterozygous *a* plant selfed the results (73*A*:19*a*) indicate neither a duplex (*AAa*) 17-:1 ratio nor a simplex (*Aaa*) 2:1 ratio, but better, a disomic 3:1 ratio. Further, the cross of a heterozygous 2n+1 plant × 2n *aa* would have given, if duplex, 5*A*:1*a*

or if simplex, $1A:1+a$. $80A:53a$ probably represents a 1:1 disomic ratio. In the case of $2n\ aa \times 2n+1$ heterozygous a , a simplex constitution would have given a 1:2 ratio and a duplex constitution a 2:1 ratio. $144A:173a$ approaches neither of these but probably represents a 1:1 disomic backcross ratio.

The factor for fine stripe (f) did not segregate sharply in the seedling stage so that backcross counts were not sufficiently reliable. Cytological evidence from Doctor BRINK's material indicates that the f - b linkage group is carried by a long chromosome.

SUMMARY

1. A $2n+1$ plant of *Zea mays* resulting from the cross diploid \times triploid and its $2n+1$ progenies were found to give trisomic inheritance for r .
2. In these plants the smallest chromosome is present in triplicate.
3. Two unrelated $2n+1$ individuals were found to be trisomic for the smallest chromosome of the haploid set. These plants, upon later testing, gave trisomic inheritance for r .
4. In $2n+1$ individuals one-third of the eggs carry the extra chromosome. In a normal pollination the extra chromosome-carrying pollen grains function only in a small percentage of the cases.
5. Plants trisomic for the r - g linkage group have given disomic inheritance for c , w_x , s_u , b , γ , g_{11} , p_r , d and a .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To MARCUS M. RHOADES and GEORGE W. BEADLE the authors wish to express sincere gratitude for their very generous cooperation in this investigation. Also to LESTER W. SHARP, ROLLINS A. EMERSON and MERLE T. JENKINS many thanks are due for their careful reading and revision of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- McCLINTOCK, B., 1929a A cytological and genetical study of triploid maize. *Genetics* 14: 180-222.
 1929b Chromosome morphology in *Zea mays*. *Science* 69: 629.

APPENDIX

During the time this paper was in press the following linkage groups were found to be associated with chromosomes other than the r - g carrying chromosome: $C-s_h-w_x$, $Y-P_1$, $A-d_1-c_r$. By the method of association of linkage groups with particular chromosomes the independence of six of the ten linkage groups ($C-s_h-w_x$, $R-g$, $B-l_g$, $Y-P_1$, $P-b_r$, $A-d_1-c_r$) has been definitely established.